

Workgroup Terms of Reference and Membership

CMP470: Introducing an Oversubscribed Technologies Commitment Fee

Responsibilities

1. The Workgroup is responsible for assisting the Connection and Use of System Code (CUSC) Panel in the evaluation of CUSC Modification Proposal **CMP470: Introducing an Oversubscribed Technologies Commitment Fee** raised by **Field Energy** at the Panel meeting on **27 March 2026**. The proposal must be evaluated to consider whether it better facilitates achievement of the Applicable CUSC Objectives.

Applicable CUSC (Connection charging) Objectives

Means the Use of System Charging Objectives, as if references therein to the Use of System Charging Methodology were to the Connection Charging Methodology and in addition, the objective (where consistent with the other objectives) of facilitating competition in the carrying out of works for connection to the National Electricity Transmission System.

Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives

- i. The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and by this licence*;
- ii. Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
- iii. Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency **; and
- iv. Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.

* See Electricity System Operator Licence

**The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (iii) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.

Public

2. It should be noted that additional provisions apply where it is proposed to modify the CUSC Modification provisions, and generally reference should be made to the Electricity System Operator Licence for the full definition of the term.

Scope of work

3. The Workgroup must consider the issues raised by the Modification Proposal and consider if the proposal identified better facilitates achievement of the Applicable CUSC Objectives.
4. In addition, the Workgroup shall consider and report on the following specific issues:

Workgroup Term of Reference	Location in Workgroup Report
a) Consider EBR implications	Pages 63, 64, 102, 110
b) Consider the scope of work identified and whether this is achievable within the timeframe outlined in the Ofgem Urgency decision letter.	Page 76, 77, 102
c) Consider how the de-activation process would work	Pages 11, 12, 18, 19, 53, 54, 78, 79, 102, Annex 04
d) Consider to whom any funds arising would be paid to, and how, if the OTCF was realised (for relevant projects)	Page 18, 60, 102
e) Consider how the co-location process would be applied where one technology is oversubscribed and the other not	Page 16, 17, 57, 58, 71-73, 102
f) Consider how the co-location process would be applied where both technologies are oversubscribed, but one technology was ahead of the other (so the £/MW level is at a different quantum).	Pages 16, 17, 71-73, 103
g) Consider whether the targeted fee facilitates competition by assessing to what extent overall project viability (and hence cost of risk of incurring	Pages 29-32, 52, 53, 42, 57, 64, 103

Public

the fee) is related to economic competitiveness should a project become viable.	
h) Consider whether the increased costs to Generators will be offset by the benefits in network planning resulting in a net benefit.	Page 48, 49, 57, 103
i) Consider whether the solution/s will bring the connection queue closer to the strategic capacity set out in CP30.	Pages 9, 10, 25–29, 33, 34, 48–55, 65–70, 73, 74, 103 Annexes 08, 09, 14

5. The Workgroup is responsible for the formulation and evaluation of any Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications (WACMs) arising from Group discussions which would, as compared with the Modification Proposal or the current version of the CUSC, better facilitate achieving the Applicable CUSC Objectives in relation to the issue or defect identified.
6. The Workgroup should become conversant with the definition of Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification which appears in Section 11 (Interpretation and Definitions) of the CUSC. The definition entitles the Group and/or an individual member of the Workgroup to put forward a WACM if the member(s) genuinely believes the WACM would better facilitate the achievement of the Applicable CUSC Objectives as compared with the Modification Proposal. The extent of the support for the Modification Proposal or any WACM arising from the Workgroup's discussions should be clearly described in the final Workgroup Report to the CUSC Modifications Panel.
7. Workgroup members should be mindful of efficiency and propose the fewest number of WACMs possible.

Public

8. All proposed WACMs should include the Proposer(s)'s details within the final Workgroup report, for the avoidance of doubt this includes WACMs which are proposed by the entire Workgroup or subset of members.
9. There is an obligation on the Workgroup to undertake a period of Consultation in accordance with CUSC 8.20. The Workgroup Consultation period shall be for a period of 15 working days as determined by the Modifications Panel.
10. Following the Consultation period, the Workgroup is required to consider all responses including any WG Consultation Alternative Requests. In undertaking an assessment of any WG Consultation Alternative Request, the Workgroup should consider whether it better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives than the current version of the CUSC.

As appropriate, the Workgroup will be required to undertake any further analysis and update the original Modification Proposal and/or WACMs. All responses including any WG Consultation Alternative Requests shall be included within the final report including a summary of the Workgroup's deliberations and conclusions. The report should make it clear where and why the Workgroup chairperson has exercised their right under the CUSC to progress a WG Consultation Alternative Request or a WACM against the majority views of Workgroup members. It should also be explicitly stated where, under these circumstances, the Workgroup chairperson is employed by the same organisation who submitted the WG Consultation Alternative Request.

11. The Workgroup is to submit its final Workgroup Report to the Modifications Panel Secretary on **15 June 2026** for circulation to Panel Members. The final

Public

Workgroup Report conclusions will be presented to the CUSC Modifications Panel meeting on **19 June 2026**.

Membership

12. It is recommended that the Workgroup has the following members:

Role	Name	Representing
Chair	Claire Goult	Code Administrator
Technical Secretary	Deborah Spencer	Code Administrator
Proposer	Andrew Enzor*	Field Energy
Workgroup Member	Ash Adams*	NESO
Workgroup Member	Ahmed Dabb*	Aura Power
Workgroup Member	Alex Ikonic*	Roadnight Taylor
Workgroup Member	Andrew Yates*	Statkraft
Workgroup Member	Charles Deacon	Eclipse Power Networks
Workgroup Member	Charles Saywell*	Aputura Energy
Workgroup Member	Charlie von Schmieder*	Gresham House
Workgroup Member	Chris Terry*	Fidra Energy
Workgroup Member	Ciaran Fitzgerald*	ScottishPower Renewables
Workgroup Member	Claire Hynes	RWE
Workgroup Member Alternate	Xuefei Zheng*	RWE
Workgroup Member	Dennis Gowland*	Research Relay Ltd

Public

Workgroup Member	Gareth Williams*	Scottish Power Transmission
Workgroup Member	Garth Graham*	SSE Generation
Workgroup Member	Gary Camplejohn	Harmony Energy Ltd
Workgroup Member Alternate	Alastair Southworth*	Harmony Energy Ltd
Workgroup Member	George Radcliffe	Ecoenergy
Workgroup Member	Grahame Neale*	LightsourceBP
Workgroup Member	Grant Rogers*	Q Energy
Workgroup Member	Helen Stack*	Centrica
Workgroup Member	Henry McDonald	Voltwise Power Holdings Limited
Workgroup Member Alternate	Khamun Ward*	Voltwise Power Holdings Limited
Workgroup Member	Joe Colebrook*	Innova
Workgroup Member	John Sturman	NatPower Marine
Workgroup Member	Julia McGee*	Ørsted
Workgroup Member	Kimbrah Hiorns*	EDF Power Solutions
Workgroup Member	Kyran Hanks*	Waters Wye
Workgroup Member	Lamin Saidy*	Qair UK
Workgroup Member	Lee Wilkinson*	On Path Energy
Workgroup Member	Matthew Paige-Stimson*	NGET

Public

Workgroup Member	Mithun Suresh	MASDAR
Workgroup Member	Navdeep Singh Gora*	Northern Powergrid
Workgroup Member	Nik Froud	Greentech Projects Holding UK Ltd
Workgroup Member	Ollie Easterbrook*	National Grid Electricity Distribution plc
Workgroup Member	Paul Youngman*	Drax
Workgroup Member	Philip Patrick	Firstway Energy
Workgroup Member Alternate	Nathan Stevenson*	Firstway Energy
Workgroup Member	Philip Pateman*	Aukera Energy
Workgroup Member	Ravinder Shan*	FRV Powertek Limited
Workgroup Member	Robin Dunne	InterGen
Workgroup Member	Rob Smith*	ENSO Green Holdings Limited (EGHL)
Workgroup Member	Ross O' Hare*	SSEN
Workgroup Member	Ross Wolhuter	Eden Renewables
Workgroup Member Alternate	Gerrard McKillen*	Eden Renewables
Workgroup Member	Sam Aitchison*	Island Green Power
Workgroup Member	Sarah Lightfoot	Root-Power
Workgroup Member	Simon Wragg*	Ethos Green Energy Solutions Ltd
Workgroup Member	Tom Palmer	Zenobe

Public

Workgroup Member Alternate	Hafiz Milhan*	Zenobe
Authority Representative	Shabana Akhtar	Ofgem

NB: A Workgroup must comprise at least 5 members (who may be Panel Members). The roles identified with an asterisk (*) in the table above contribute toward the required quorum, determined in accordance with paragraph 14 below and participated in the Workgroup vote.

13. The Chairperson of the Workgroup and the Modifications Panel Chairperson must agree a number that will be quorum for each Workgroup meeting. The agreed figure for this modification is that at least 5 Workgroup members must participate in a meeting for quorum to be met.
14. A vote is to take place by all eligible Workgroup members on the Modification Proposal and each WACM. The vote shall be decided by simple majority of those present at the meeting at which the vote takes place (whether in person or by teleconference). The Workgroup chairperson shall not have a vote, casting or otherwise. There may be up to three rounds of voting, as follows:
 - Vote 1:** whether each proposal better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives;
 - Vote 2:** where one or more WACMs exist, whether each WACM better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives than the original Modification Proposal;

Public

Vote 3: which option is considered to BEST facilitate achievement of the Applicable CUSC Objectives. For the avoidance of doubt, this vote should include the existing CUSC baseline as an option.

The results from the vote and the reasons for such voting shall be recorded in the Workgroup report in as much detail as practicable.

15. It is expected that Workgroup members would only abstain from voting under limited circumstances, for example where a member feels that a proposal has been insufficiently developed. Where a member has such concerns, they should raise these with the Workgroup chairperson at the earliest possible opportunity and certainly before the Workgroup vote takes place. Where abstention occurs, the reason should be recorded in the Workgroup report.
16. Workgroup members or their appointed alternate are required to attend a minimum of 50% of the Workgroup meetings to be eligible to participate in the Workgroup vote.
17. The Technical Secretary shall keep an Attendance Record for the Workgroup meetings and circulate the Attendance Record with the Action Notes after each meeting. This will be attached to the final Workgroup report.
18. The Workgroup membership can be amended from time to time by the CUSC Modifications Panel.

Terms of Reference Version Control

Issue	Date	Summary of Changes / Reasons	Panel Approval Date
1	xx/xx/20xx	Panel approved Terms of Reference ahead of nominations	xx/xx/20xx